#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No. : 10/562,511 Confirmation No.: 7969

Appellant : BERND CLAUBERG
Filed : DECEMBER 28, 2005

TC/A.U. : 2821

Examiner : ALEMU, E. Docket No. : US030201

Title : SINGLE LED DRIVER FOR A TRAFFIC LIGHT

# REPLY BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Sir:

Please consider Appellant's reply brief as follows:

April 30, 2009 Case No.: US030201 (7790/484)

Serial No.: 10/562,511

Filed: December 28, 2005 Page 2 of 8

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Status of Cla	ims					3
2.	Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal						4
3.	Arguments						5
4.	Summary						8

Case No.: US030201 (7790/484) Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005

Page 3 of 8

## 1. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 are pending. Claims 2 and 7 were cancelled.

Claims 1 and 3-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,362,578 to Swanson, et al. (the *Swanson* patent).

Claims 6 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0175826 to Hutchison, *et al.* (the *Hutchison* publication).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 are the claims on appeal.

Case No.: US030201 (7790/484) Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005

Page 4 of 8

## 2. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Whether claims 1 and 3-5 are unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,362,578 to Swanson, *et al.* (the *Swanson* patent) under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Whether claims 6 and 8-10 are unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0175826 to Hutchison, et al. (the *Hutchison* publication) under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Case No.: US030201 (7790/484) Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005

Page 5 of 8

#### 3 ARGUMENTS

The Appellant respectfully submits that claims 1 and 3-5 are allowable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,362,578 to Swanson, et al. (the *Swanson* patent) under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and that the rejection of claims 1 and 3-5 should be reversed.

Further, the Appellant respectfully submits that claims 6 and 8-10 are allowable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,655 to Colby (the *Colby* patent) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0175826 to Hutchison, *et al.* (the *Hutchison* publication) under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and that the rejection of claims 6 and 8-10 should be reversed.

The Appellant re-asserts the arguments of the Appellant's Brief dated November 25, 2008, and present the following arguments in response to the Examiner's Answer dated March 9, 2009 (hereinafter Examiner's Answer).

#### Claims 1 and 3-5

The Examiner's Answer asserts that the Colby patent discloses separately controlled traffic lights, which reads on operable to prevent simultaneous opening [sic] of electronic switches. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 9, paragraph 2. The Appellant respectfully disagrees. The issue is not whether the Colby patent teaches controlling a single lamp, but whether the Colby patent teaches prevention of simultaneous closure of electronic switches as claimed. The Examiner's Answer correctly notes that the Colby patent teaches simultaneous illumination of multiple lamps. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 9, paragraph 2. In so doing, the Colby patent does not teach preventing simultaneous closure of electronic switches as claimed, but rather requires it for simultaneous illumination.

The Examiner's Answer also asserts that the modification to the lighting circuit arrangement which prevents simultaneous switch closure is modification to the Colby patent, not the Swanson patent. See Examiner's Response to Argument,

April 30, 2009 Case No.: US030201 (7790/484)

> Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005 Page 6 of 8

page 10, paragraphs 2 and 3. The Examiner's Answer notes that the Colby patent does not show the detailed structure of the first to fifth LED circuits. See Examiner's Grounds of Rejection, page 3, paragraph 2. The Appellant respectfully points out that the Examiner's Answer further states that "Swanson is cited to show a known and widely used LED circuit arrangement which is similar to the claimed LED circuit arrangement." See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 7, paragraph 3. Therefore, the Examiner's Answer cites the Swanson patent as the circuitry that is operable to carry out the Appellant's invention. The modification of the Swanson patent makes the automobile rear combination lamp driver circuit of the Swanson patent inoperable and defeats its intended purpose, and so teaches away from the modification.

Reversal of the rejection of claims 1 and 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Colby* patent in view of the *Swanson* patent is respectfully requested.

## Claims 6 and 8-10

The Examiner's Answer asserts that the Colhy patent discloses and teaches a traffic light having a first to fifth LED circuits that are selectively controlled by a single control module including electronics. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 12, paragraph 5. The Examiner's Answer further asserts that the known single control module including electronics to operate in order to prevent simultaneous closure [sic] of the electronic switches of the Colhy patent would have been obvious for no other reason than controlling the direction and flow of traffic without giving conflicting signals. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 13, paragraph 2. The Appellant respectfully disagrees. First, the Colhy patent discloses several traffic signals supported by one or more supporting elements and coupled through a single control module including electronics, not a switch controller operable to selectively open and close electronic switches as claimed. See the Colhy patent: Figure 4B; column 1, line 43, through column 2, line 4. Thus, the Colhy patent discloses several traffic signals, not LED circuits, coupled through a single control module. Second,

Case No.: US030201 (7790/484) Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005

Page 7 of 8

the Examiner's Answer correctly notes that the Colby patent teaches simultaneous illumination of multiple lamps. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 9, paragraph 2. In so doing, the Colby patent does not teach preventing simultaneous opening of electronic switches as claimed, but rather requires it. Finally, the Examiner's Answer notes that the Colby patent does not show the detailed structure of the first to fifth LED circuits and cites the disclosures of circuitry in the Hutchison patent as the circuitry that is operable to carry out the Appellant's invention. See Examiner's Response to Argument, page 13, paragraphs 2 and 3. The modification of the Hutchison patent as required to carry out the Appellant's invention as claimed makes the traffic light of the Hutchison publication inoperable and defeats its intended purpose, and so teaches away from the modification. The Hutchison publication also teaches away from such modification by teaching that all LED sets are driven in a normal mode of operation. See the Hutchison publication: paragraph [0007].

Reversal of the rejection of claims 6 and 8-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Colly* patent in view of the *Hutchinson* publication is respectfully requested.

Case No.: US030201 (7790/484) Serial No.: 10/562,511 Filed: December 28, 2005

Page 8 of 8

### 4 SUMMARY

The Appellant respectfully submits that claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103. In view of the foregoing, reversal of the rejection of claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 is respectfully requested.

Dated: April 30, 2009 Respectfully submitted,
BERND CLAUBERG

/ LESLIE B. WILSON /

Leslie B. Wilson Registration No. 33,816 Attorney for Appellant

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue

Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113